

EVIDENCE DOSSIER – Giant Squid


1. Context

There is considerable controversy as to how large giant squid get, with eyewitness reports suggesting considerably longer specimens than accepted by the consensus within the scientific community. A recent paper (see information 4 below) has suggested on statistical grounds that squid grow longer than the consensus believes and this has ignited a debate. So we are interested in the following question: how long do giant squid get? We are organizing an elicitation workshop to obtain a balanced scientific assessment of how long giant squid might be, based on the evidence.

2. The Quantity of Interest

Giant squid (*Architeuthis dux*) are a marine species found all over the world except at high latitudes (i.e. they are not found in circumpolar seas). Squid length is measured in three ways: mantle length (ML), i.e. the length of the body (not including the head and arms), standard length (SL), i.e. the length of the mantle plus the head to the end of the 8 short arms, and total length (TL), i.e. the length of the mantle plus the head to the end of the 2 tentacles. (N.B. the image below is of a different species of squid than *Architeuthis*.)

Figure 1. Basic squid morphology and terminology (O'Shea and Bolstad 2008)



The Quantity of Interest is defined to be the longest total length amongst all *Architeuthis dux* currently alive.

3. Scientific opinion

Controversy arises because

1. we cannot sample all squids
2. the arms and tentacles may stretch or shrink post-mortem.
3. many estimates are by eye and anecdotal.
4. sometimes carcasses are not intact with arms and tentacles missing.
5. even some of the claimed measured lengths may be unreliable for other reasons than the state of the carcass.

In addition to these questions of data quality, opinion is divided over what can be inferred from the data. Here are some contrasting opinions

An online source

A discussion of squid lengths is given in O'Shea and Bolstad (2008) 'Giant Squid and Colossal Squid Fact Sheet'. This web document contains the following opinion.

Architeuthis is frequently misreported to attain a total length of 20 metres (~65 feet). However, the largest specimen known washed ashore on a New Zealand beach, Lyall Bay (Wellington) in the winter of 1887. It was a female and "in all ways smaller than any of the hitherto-described New Zealand species", according to Kirk (1887), the gentleman who described this very specimen. Apparently it measured 55 feet 2 inches in total length (16.8m), but this simply cannot be correct, and this length almost certainly is a product of imagination or lengthening (stretching like rubber bands) of the very slight tentacular arms, as its mantle was only 71 inches long (1.8m). We know that it was not measured with a conventional tape, but was paced, as Kirk says so in his publication. A comparable-sized female (ML 1.8m) measured post mortem and relaxed (by modern standards) today would have a total length of ~32 feet (9.8m).

Mantle length (as opposed to total length) is the standard measure in cephalopods. Of more than 130 *Architeuthis* specimens that the authors have examined, none has attained a mantle exceeding 2.25m (7.4 feet), or total length of 13m (42 feet).

Standard Length (SL) is the length of a squid excluding the tentacles; in *Architeuthis* this measure very rarely exceeds 5m. The rest of the animal's length, to a total length of 13m, is made up of the two long tentacles. Of more than 130 specimens that we have examined, none has exceeded these figures.

Architeuthis beaks recovered from the stomachs of sperm whales are smaller than or of comparable size to *Architeuthis* beaks recovered from specimens trawled in New Zealand waters. Therefore, since we believe sperm whales capable of catching even the largest giant squid, no evidence exists for recognising larger specimens than those that are currently known. Moreover, it is most likely that a single species, *Architeuthis dux*, exists worldwide, so 'larger species' of *Architeuthis* do not occur.

Accordingly, to perpetuate myths of *Architeuthis* to 20 metre lengths (60 feet) and weights of up to 1000kg (a ton, or 2205lbs) is a disservice to science.

A journal article

A further discussion of squid length can be found in a paragraph from McLain et al (2015).

A substantial amount of size data exists for *A. dux*, including 75 total length measurements, 167 mantle lengths, and 95 mass measurements. The maximum reported length (mantle plus tentacles) of *A. dux* is 17.37m (Verrill, 1879). The same paper describes three specimens at near 15m, and several more ranging from 12m upward and are the largest reported sizes for *A. dux*. A specimen documented in 2002 was reported to be approximately 15m, but the length was estimated and the actual tentacles were absent. The largest recorded and well-preserved specimen in the contemporary, peer-reviewed literature is 12m (Bustamante et al., 2008). Given that the few lengths >12m were not first-hand measurements and come from reported statements, we feel that the longest scientifically verified giant squid is 12m.


A recent analysis

A recent paper (Paxton 2016) reports a statistical analysis of *Architeuthis* length data. Their regression analysis produced Figure 2, below, and the authors' discussion of their results includes the following

It is possible that much of the width of the prediction interval, in the case of the TL on ML regression, is due to a lack of large specimens and, of course, there is a small amount of extrapolation. Nevertheless, given that giant squid can reach MLs of 2.79 m (Table 1) and the estimated prediction intervals, squid with a conservative TL of 20 m would seem likely based on current data. This is with no correction for elasticity except for the omission of the suspect 1887 specimen. It would be useful to determine the limits of such elasticity in *Architeuthis* to constrain these estimates and determine if the 1887 specimen really was stretched. However, if elasticity was a general consequence of stranding, for example, this ought to be detectable in the data and this does not appear to be the case and there is at least one claim of post-mortem shrinkage of tentacles (Murray, 1874).

O'Shea & Bolstad (2008) stated that of the 130 *Architeuthis* specimens they encountered, none exceeded 2.25 m ML and 13 m TL, but assuming a population of hundreds of thousands (from Roper & Shea, 2013), there are plenty of opportunities for animals to reach longer lengths and the conservative statistics strongly suggest this. I would argue that *Architeuthis* slightly larger than those proposed by O'Shea and Bolstad are probable and such a claim is not such a 'disservice to science' as they suggest.

Figure 2. Relationship of total length to mantle length in *Architeuthis*. Mean – best fit line. C.I. – confidence interval. P.I. – prediction interval. The total explained deviance was 77%. The horizontal green line indicates Berzin’s 19m TL claim from the Indian Ocean. The cross indicates values taken from the exceptionally long tentacled specimen found stranded in Lyall Bay, North Island, New Zealand, in 1887. The 99.9% prediction interval for the longest (2.79 m) mantle length measured (without entire length) is given by the vertical green line.



NB. The following caveats should be noted.

- The method of selection of the data for this analysis is not clear, and in view of the quality issues with giant squid length data listed earlier, some of the data points may not be of verified measurement.
- The assumptions made in the statistical analysis are not specified.

4. Data

The following is a collection of extreme lengths derived from various sources.

Wikipedia

The four largest total length measurements in a list of giant squid specimens found at Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_giant_squid_specimens_and_sightings

Total length (m)	16.0 (Verrill 1874), 16.81 (Pfeffer 1912), 19.0 (Berzin 1972), 21.95 (Kiliyas 1993)
-------------------------	---

O'Shea and Bolstad

The web article O'Shea and Bolstad (2008) cited above gives the following maxima, repeated here for convenience.

Total length (m)	13 (O'Shea and Bolstad 2008)
Mantle length (m)	2.25 (O'Shea and Bolstad 2008)

McLain et al

The following are the figures cited above from McLain et al (2015), repeated here for convenience.

Total length (m)	17.37 (Verrill, 1879), 12 (Bustamante et al., 2008)
-------------------------	---

Paxton

In the recent article, Paxton (2016), the following table is given

	Mantle length (m)	Standard length (m)	Total length (m)
Longest measured	3.4 (Dell 1952 , Förch 1998) More reliably 2.8 (Kirk 1880)	9.5 (Verrill 1879)	19.0 (Berzin 1972) 16.8 (Kirk 1888) 16.8 (Verrill 1879)
Longest estimated by eye	c. 30.0 (Lipington pers. comm.) 4.0 (Lynch 2014)	c. 53.3 (Starkey 1963) c. 30.5 (Braun, undated)	c. 18.3 (Murray 1874)

References

- Berzin, A. A. (1972) *The Sperm Whale*. Jerusalem: Israel Program for Scientific Translation.
- Braun (undated). In: *In Search of the Giant Squid*. Ellis. R. (author). (1999) *The Search For the Giant Squid*. London: Penguin.
- Bustamante, P., Á.F. González, F. Rocha, P. Miramand & Á. Guerra (2008). Metal and metalloid concentrations in the giant squid *Architeuthis dux* from Iberian waters. *Marine Environmental Research* **66**(2): 278–287.
- Dell, R. K. (1952) The recent Cephalopoda of New Zealand. *Bulletin of the Dominion Museum, Wellington* **16** 1.
- Förch, E. C. (1998.) *The marine fauna of New Zealand: Cephalopoda: Oegopsida: Architeuthidae (giant squid)*. Auckland: National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research.
- Kilius, R. (1993). Stamm Mollusca – Weichtiere. [pp. 390–631] In: H.E. Gruner, H.J. Hannemann, G. Hartwich & R. Kilius (eds.) *Urania Tierreich. Wirbellose Tiere I (Protozoa bis Echiurida)*. Urania, Leipzig. **(German)**
- Kirk, T. W. (1880). On the occurrence of giant cuttlefish on the New Zealand coast. *Transactions and Proceedings of the New Zealand Institute* **12**, 310– 313.
- Kirk, T. W. (1888) Brief description of a new species of large decapod (*Architeuthis longimanus*). *Transactions and Proceedings of the New Zealand Institute*, **20**, 34.
- Kubodera, T. & K. Mori (2005) First-ever observations of a live giant squid in the wild. *Proceedings Of The Royal Society B-Biological Sciences*, **272**, 2583.
- Lipington, T. (2011, pers. comm to Charles Paxton)
- McClain C.R., Balk M.A., Benfield M.C., Branch T.A., Chen C., Cosgrove J., Dove A.D.M., Gaskins L.C., Helm R.R., Hochberg F.G., Lee F.B., Marshall A., McMurray S.E., Schanche C., Stone S.N., Thaler A.D. (2015). Sizing ocean giants: patterns of intraspecific size variation in marine megafauna. *PeerJ* **3**:e715. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.715>
- Murray, A. (1874) Capture of a gigantic squid at Newfoundland. *American Naturalist*, **8**, 120 - 123.
- O'Shea, S. and Bolstad, K. (2008) Giant squid and colossal squid fact sheet. <https://www.tonmo.com/pages/giantsquidfactsheet/> (accessed September, 2016).
- Paxton, C. G. M. (2016), Unleashing the Kraken: on the maximum length in giant squid (*Architeuthis* sp.). *Journal of Zoology*. doi:10.1111/jzo.12347
- Pfeffer, G. (1912). Die Cephalopoden der Plankton-Expedition. Zugleich eine monographische Übersicht der Oegopsiden Cephalopoden. *Ergebnisse der Plankton-Expedition der Humboldt-Stiftung* **2**: 1–815, 48 plates. **(German)**
- Roper, C. F. E. & E. K. Shea (2013) Unanswered Questions About the Giant Squid *Architeuthis* (Architeuthidae) Illustrate Our Incomplete Knowledge of Coleoid Cephalopods. *American Malacological Bulletin*, **31**, 109.
- Starkey, J. D. (1963) I saw a sea monster *Animals*, **2**, 629.
- Staub, F. (1993) Requin bleu, calmar geant et cachalot. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Arts and Sciences of Mauritius*, **V**, 141.
- Verrill, A.E. (1874b). The giant cuttle-fishes of Newfoundland and the common squids of the New England coast. *American Naturalist* **8**(3)[Mar.]: 167–174.
- Verrill, A. E. (1879) The cephalopods of the North-eastern coast of America. *Transactions of the Connecticut Academy of Sciences*, **5**, 177.